

## **ALLOCATION FORMULAS FOR 2003-2004 FEDERAL PROGRAMS**

### **TITLE I, Part A IMPROVING ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT OF THE DISADVANTAGED**

Allotment to State Agency for Elementary and Secondary Education - 100%

97% - LEA Allotment (This will change to 95% in 2004-2005 school year.)

2% - SEA Program Improvement – The percentage will change to 4% beginning with the 2004-2005 school year.

- 95% of Program Improvement Funds go directly to schools not at the base level for Annual Yearly Progress
- 5% of Program Improvement Funds is for Technical Assistance and Support

1% - SEA Administration

The U. S. Office of Education computes the Title I allocation for each school district using census poverty and census population for children ages 5-17, children in neglected or delinquent institutions, and foster children.

**NEGLECTED INSTITUTIONS** -- Neglected funds are part of the district's allocated funds. Using the September membership counts and the neglected counts of October 2002, funds are distributed to neglected institutions based upon a \$ per child amount.

**DELINQUENT INSTITUTIONS** – A separate grant is given for delinquent institutions. Each eligible delinquent institution receives their proportionate amount based upon their October 2002 count.

The Title I allocation consists of four separate funding calculations: Basic Grant, Concentration Grant, Targeted Grant, and Education Finance Incentive Grant (EFIG). Each funding category has different criteria and formula to distribute these funds. The hold harmless provision applies to the Basic Grant, Concentration Grant, Targeted Grant and the EFIG Grant.

**Hold Harmless Amounts** – The amount made available to the LEA shall be:

- Not less than 95% of the amount made available for the preceding fiscal year if the percentage of census poverty students is not less than 30%
- Not less than 90% of the amount made available for the preceding fiscal year if the percentage of census poverty students is between 15% and 30%
- Not less than 85% of the amount made available for the preceding fiscal year if the percentage of census poverty students is below 15%.

#### **TITLE I BASIC GRANTS --**

Minimum Number of Children to Qualify: 10 or more census poverty children and more than 2% census poverty.

#### **TITLE I CONCENTRATION GRANTS --**

Minimum Number of Children to Qualify: 6,500 census poverty children or 15% census poverty.

## **TITLE I TARGETED GRANTS --**

Minimum Number of Children to Qualify: 10 or more census poverty children and at least 5% census poverty.

Weights for Title I Targeted allocations to LEAs -- The larger of the weighted criteria by percentage of children or by number of children

Weights by percentage of census poverty children:		Weights by number of census poverty children:	
< = 15.58% =	1.0	< = 691 =	1.0
> 15.58% <= 22.11% =	1.75	> 691 <= 2262 =	1.5
> 22.11% <= 30.16% =	2.5	> 2262 <= 7851 =	2.0
> 30.16% <= 38.24% =	3.25	> 7851 <= 35514 =	2.5
> 38.24 =	4.0	> 35514 =	3.0

## **TITLE I EDUCATION FINANCE INCENTIVE GRANT (EFIG) -- Based on Fiscal Effort and Equity**

Minimum Number of Children to Qualify: 10 or more census poverty children and at least 5% census poverty

Weights for allocations to LEAs -- The larger of the weighted criteria by percentage of children or by number of children

Weights for State by equity factor

Percentage of census poverty children	Equity factor < .10	Equity factor >= .10<.20	Equity factor >=.20
< = 15.58%	1.0	1.0	1.0
> 15.58% <= 22.11%	1.75	1.5	2.0
> 22.11% <= 30.16%	2.5	3.0	4.0
> 30.16% <= 38.24%	3.25	4.5	6.0
> 38.24 =	4.0	6.0	8.0

Weights for State by equity factor

Number of census poverty children	Equity factor < .10	Equity factor >= .10<.20	Equity factor >=.20
< = 691 =	1.0	1.0	1.0
> 691 <= 2262 =	1.5	1.5	2.0
> 2262 <= 7851 =	2.0	2.25	3.0
> 7851 <= 35514 =	2.5	3.375	4.5
> 35514 =	3.0	4.5	6.0

The new law specifies that districts must allocate funds under these formulas (Targeted Grants and Education Finance Incentive Grants) in the same way as other Title I funds, and use the money for Title I purposes. These two formulas drive more money to the highest poverty districts, based on census poverty numbers.

**TITLE I, PART C  
(EDUCATION OF MIGRATORY CHILDREN)**

99% - LEA and Migrant Center Allotments  
1% - SEA Administration

Allocations to LEAs are based on the number of migratory children aged 3 through 21.

**TITLE II – PART A  
(TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING FUND)**

95% - LEA Allotment:  
2.5% - State Agency for Higher Education (Competitive Grants)  
1% - SEA Administration  
1.5% - SEA Statewide Activities

Hold Harmless – 100% of 2001-2002 Title II Eisenhower funds + 100% of 2001-2002  
Class Size Reduction funds.

Additional funds over the hold harmless amount should be distributed as follows:  
20% is distributed based on census population ages 5-17,  
80% is distributed based on census poverty

For Title II.A purposes, equitable services to nonpublic schools applies only to the extent that the LEA uses funds to provide professional development to teachers and other educational staff. The share of the LEA's Title II.A funds that is used for professional development and subject to a determination of equitable expenditures shall be not less than the aggregate amount of funds that were used for professional development for fiscal year 2001-2002 under Title II Eisenhower Professional Development and Class Size Reduction.

**TITLE II, PART D  
(ENHANCING EDUCATION THROUGH TECHNOLOGY)**

42.5% - Formula LEA Allotments (Grants Management Section)  
42.5% - Competitive Grants (Instructional Technology Section)  
5% - SEA Statewide Activities  
10% - Nonpublic Participation (Details to be determined later)

Formula LEA Grant is awarded to eligible LEAs by formula based on each LEA's share of Title I, Part A funds for that year (2003-2004 Title I Allocation).

**TITLE III – PART A  
(ENGLISH LANGUAGE ACQUISITION AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT)**

95% - LEA Allotment:

80% of the 95% will be based on the number of limited English proficient

20% of the 95% will be based on the number of immigrant children and youth

3% - SEA Administration and Planning

2% - SEA Statewide Activities

**TITLE IV, PART A  
(SAFE AND DRUG-FREE SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITIES)**

Allotment to Governor - 20%

Allotment to State Agency for Elementary and Secondary Education - 80%

93% of 80% - LEA Allotment

60% of 93% - Distributed based on relative amount received under Part A of Title I for the preceding fiscal year

40% of 93% - Distributed based on relative enrollment (public and non-profit nonpublic schools).

3% of 80% - SEA Administration/ Uniform Management Information System

4% of 80% - SEA State Level Activities

**TITLE V, PART A  
(INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS)**

Allotment to State Programs - 15% (Technical Assistance and Direct Grants to LEAs and Statewide education reform activities that assist LEAs to provide targeted assistance, and State Administration (Supervision, planning, monitoring, & evaluation)

Allotment to LEAs-85%

100% of the allotment to LEAs should be distributed based on relative enrollment (public & nonpublic schools). The United States Secretary of Education has approved criteria for adjustments, such as, high concentration of children from low-income families and children living in sparsely populated areas.

Under the formula, a weight of 1.0 is assigned to each pupil enrolled in an LEA, and additional weighting, in accordance with the criteria below, is assigned to each pupil enrolled in LEAs with the greatest number or percentages of children whose education imposes a higher than average cost per child:

- **Children from Low-Income Families** -- An additional weight of .5 is assigned to each pupil enrolled in an LEA with a concentration of **census poverty** children of **30-60%**,

and an additional weight of 1.0 is assigned to each pupil enrolled in an LEA with a concentration of **census poverty** children in excess of **60%**.

- **Children Living in Sparsely Populated Areas (represented by children attending LEAs with small enrollments)** -- An additional weight of .5 is assigned to each pupil enrolled in an LEA with an enrollment of 250 to 350 pupils, and an additional weight of 1.0 is assigned to each pupil enrolled in an LEA with a total enrollment of fewer than 250 pupils.
- **The total number of weighted pupils in any LEA may not exceed two times the total enrollment.**

In Missouri, nonpublic schools are served through bypass (Blue Hills Home Corporation). The Blue Hills Home Corporation provides us with their contract amount for Administration. The nonpublic schools allocations are computed with the same weighted enrollment numbers as their resident school.